## Calculating average values for many-electron atoms under the central field approximation

When the Hamiltonian for a many-electron atom can be written, in the central field approximation, as

$$
\mathcal{H} \approx-\left(\hbar^{2} / 2 \mu\right)\left\{\nabla_{1}^{2}+\nabla_{2}^{2}+\nabla_{3}^{2}+\nabla_{4}^{2}+\ldots\right\}+\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{r}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{r}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{r}_{3}\right)+\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{r}_{4}\right)+\ldots
$$ then the problem is exactly separable, no matter how complicated the $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ expressions may be, as long as each one is a function only of the distance of the $i$ th electron from the nucleus, not of $\theta_{i}$ or $\phi_{i}$.

In this case then the problem
$\mathcal{H} \Psi(1,2,3,4, \ldots)=\mathrm{E} \Psi(1,2,3,4, \ldots)$ can be solved by solving individual oneelectron problems:

$$
\left\{-\left(\hbar^{2} / 2 \mu\right) \nabla_{i}^{2}+\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{r}_{i}\right)\right\} \Psi\left(\mathrm{r}_{i}, \theta_{i}, \phi_{i}\right)=\mathrm{E}_{i} \Psi\left(\mathrm{r}_{i}, \theta_{i}, \phi_{i}\right)
$$

Since the only $\theta_{i}$, $\phi_{i}$ dependence is in $\nabla_{i}^{2}$ then each one-electron problem to be solved can be solved by separation of the variables $\theta_{i}, \phi_{i}$ leading to the same solutions as for the hydrogen atom itself, namely the same quantum numbers $\ell$ and m and the same functions $\mathrm{Y}_{\ell \mathrm{m}}\left(\theta_{i}, \phi_{i}\right)$ arise in precisely the same way as for the hydrogen atom. The only difference is that the remaining differential equation in $r$ to be solved :

$$
\left\{\left(\hbar^{2} / 2 \mu\right)\left[\partial^{2} / \partial \mathrm{r}_{i}^{2}+\left(2 / \mathrm{r}_{i}\right) \partial / \partial \mathrm{r}_{i}+\ell(\ell+1) / \mathrm{r}_{i}^{2}\right]+\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{r}_{i}\right)\right\} \mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{r}_{i}\right)=\mathrm{E}_{i} \mathrm{~F}\left(\mathrm{r}_{i}\right)
$$

is different from that in the hydrogen atom in that here, $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{r}_{i}\right) \neq-\mathrm{Ze}^{2} / \mathrm{r}_{i}$
This means that the functions $\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{r}_{i}\right) \neq \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n} \ell}\left(\mathrm{r}_{i}\right)$.
Nevertheless, a simple product function $\Psi\left(\mathrm{r}_{i}, \theta_{i}, \phi_{i}\right)=\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{r}_{i}\right) \cdot \mathrm{Y}_{\ell \mathrm{m}}\left(\theta_{i}, \phi_{i}\right)$ is associated with the one-electron energy $\mathrm{E}_{i}$. This also means that the many electron functions can be written as simple products (to be antisymmetrized later)
$\Psi(1,2,3,4, \ldots)=\Psi\left(\mathrm{r}_{1}, \theta_{1}, \phi_{1}\right) \cdot \Psi\left(\mathrm{r}_{2}, \theta_{2}, \phi_{2}\right) \cdot \Psi\left(\mathrm{r}_{3}, \theta_{3}, \phi_{3}\right) \cdot \Psi\left(\mathrm{r}_{4}, \theta_{4}, \phi_{4}\right) \cdot \ldots$ which is represented by an "electronic configuration" such as $1 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{~s}^{1} 2 \mathrm{p}^{3} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{2}$.

If the effect of all the other electrons were to reduce the nuclear charge seen by the $i$ th electron, from the full charge Z down to $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {eff }}{ }^{(i)}$ then, the many-electron problem separates into

$$
\left\{\left(\hbar^{2} / 2 \mu\right)\left[\partial^{2} / \partial \mathrm{r}_{i}^{2}+\left(2 / \mathrm{r}_{i}\right) \partial / \partial \mathrm{r}_{i}+\ell(\ell+1) / \mathrm{r}_{i}{ }^{2}\right]-\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{eff}}{ }^{(i)} \mathrm{e}^{2} / \mathrm{r}_{i}\right\} \mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{r}_{i}\right)=\mathrm{E}_{i} \mathrm{~F}\left(\mathrm{r}_{i}\right)
$$ each one of which is a hydrogen atom with $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {eff }}{ }^{(i)}$ appearing everywhere Z used to be. This means that $F\left(r_{i}\right)=R_{n \ell}\left(r_{i}\right)$, just as for the hydrogen atom, and all the wavefunctions that we found for the hydrogen atom are the same ones as for each electron in the many-electron atom. Because of this, the one-electron energies are $\mathrm{E}_{i}=-\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{eff}}{ }^{(i)} / \mathrm{n}_{i}\right)^{2}\left(\mathrm{e}^{2} / 2 \mathrm{a}_{0}\right)$ and all the one-electron operators $O p$ which can be

expressed in terms of $r_{i}$ can be obtained from the integrals derived for the hydrogen atom, for example the average value:

$$
\left\langle\left(\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{a}_{0}\right)^{2}\right\rangle=\left(\mathrm{n}^{2} / 2 \mathrm{Z}^{2}\right)\left[5 \mathrm{n}^{2}+1-3 \ell(\ell+1)\right]
$$

Clementi and Raimondi, for example, provide tables of $\left[\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{eff}}{ }^{(i)} / \mathrm{n}\right]$ for each electron in the ground configuration of atoms He up to Kr. [E. Clementi and D. L. Raimondi, J. Chem. Phys. 38, 2686 (1963)] Of course, these only apply to that particular configuration. Clearly the amount of effective screening by the other electrons depends on which types of functions describe their probability distribution in space.

Table I. Best values of $\xi$ for the ground state of neutral atoms.a

| $Z$ | $1 s$ | $2 s$ | $2 p$ | $3 s$ | $3 p$ | $4 s$ | $3 d$ | $4 p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | 1.6875 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. | 2.6906 | 0.6396 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. | 3.6848 | 0.9560 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. | 4.6795 | 1.2881 | 1.2107 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. | 5.6727 | 1.6083 | 1.5679 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. | 6.6651 | 1.9237 | 1.9170 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. | 7.6579 | 2.2458 | 2.2266 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. | 8.6501 | 2.5638 | 2.5500 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. | 9.6421 | 2.8792 | 2.8792 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. | 10.6259 | 3.2857 | 3.4009 | 0.8358 |  |  |  |  |
| 12. | 11.6089 | 3.6960 | 3.9129 | 1.1025 |  |  |  |  |
| 13. | 12.5910 | 4.1068 | 4.4817 | 1.3724 | 1.3552 |  |  |  |
| 14. | 13.5745 | 4.5100 | 4.9725 | 1.6344 | 1.4284 |  |  |  |
| 15. | 14.5578 | 4.9125 | 5.4806 | 1.8806 | 1.6288 |  |  |  |
| 16. | 15.5409 | 5.3144 | 5.9885 | 2.1223 | 1.8273 |  |  |  |
| 17. | 16.5239 | 5.7152 | 6.4966 | 2.3561 | 2.0387 |  |  |  |
| 18. | 17.5075 | 6.1152 | 7.0041 | 2.5856 | 2.2547 |  |  |  |
| 19. | 18.4895 | 6.5031 | 7.5136 | 2.8933 | 2.5752 | 0.8738 |  |  |
| 20. | 19.4730 | 6.8882 | 8.0207 | 3.2005 | 2.8861 | 1.0995 |  |  |
| 21. | 20.4566 | 7.2868 | 8.5273 | 3.4466 | 3.1354 | 1.1581 | 2.3733 |  |
| 22. | 21.4409 | 7.6883 | 9.0324 | 3.6777 | 3.3679 | 1.2042 | 2.7138 |  |
| 23. | 22.4256 | 8.0907 | 9.5364 | 3.9031 | 3.5950 | 1.2453 | 2.9943 |  |
| 24. | 23.4138 | 8.4919 | 10.0376 | 4.1226 | 3.8220 | 1.2833 | 3.2522 |  |
| 25. | 24.3957 | 8.8969 | 10.5420 | 4.3393 | 4.0364 | 1.3208 | 3.5094 |  |
| 26. | 25.3810 | 9.2995 | 11.0444 | 4.5587 | 4.2593 | 1.3585 | 3.7266 |  |
| 27. | 26.3668 | 9.7025 | 11.5462 | 4.7741 | 4.4782 | 1.3941 | 3.9518 |  |
| 28. | 27.3526 | 10.1063 | 12.0476 | 4.9870 | 4.6950 | 1.4277 | 4.1765 |  |
| 29. | 28.3386 | 10.5099 | 12.5485 | 5.1981 | 4.9102 | 1.4606 | 4.4002 |  |
| 30. | 29.3245 | 10.9140 | 13.0490 | 5.4064 | 5.1231 | 1.4913 | 4.6261 |  |
| 31. | 30.3094 | 11.2995 | 13.5454 | 5.6654 | 5.4012 | 1.7667 | 5.0311 | 1.5554 |
| 32. | 31.2937 | 11.6824 | 14.0411 | 5.9299 | 5.6712 | 2.0109 | 5.4171 | 1.6951 |
| 33. | 32.2783 | 12.0635 | 14.5368 | 6.1985 | 5.9499 | 2.2360 | 5.7928 | 1.8623 |
| 34. | 33.2622 | 12.4442 | 15.0326 | 6.4678 | 6.2350 | 2.4394 | 6.1590 | 2.0718 |
| 35. | 34.2471 | 12.8217 | 15.5282 | 6.7395 | 6.5236 | 2.6382 | 6.5197 | 2.2570 |
| 36. | 35.2316 | 13.1990 | 16.0235 | 7.0109 | 6.8114 | 2.8289 | 6.8753 | 2.4423 |

[^0]In the Slater approximation, the Hamiltonian is taken to be of the form

$$
\mathcal{H} \approx-\left(\hbar^{2} / 2 \mu\right)\left\{\nabla_{1}^{2}+\nabla_{2}^{2}+\nabla_{3}^{2}+\nabla_{4}^{2}+\ldots\right\}-\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{eff}}{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{e}^{2} / \mathrm{r}_{1}-\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{eff}}{ }^{(2)} \mathrm{e}^{2} / \mathrm{r}_{2}-\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{eff}}{ }^{(3)} \mathrm{e}^{2} / \mathrm{r}_{3}
$$

$$
-Z_{\text {eff }}^{(4)} \mathrm{e}^{2} / \mathrm{r}_{4}-\ldots
$$

Since the $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {eff }}{ }^{(i)}$ are constants, although each is different from Z itself, the manyelectron problem separates into

$$
\left\{\left(\hbar^{2} / 2 \mu\right)\left[\partial^{2} / \partial \mathrm{r}_{i}^{2}+\left(2 / \mathrm{r}_{i}\right) \partial / \partial \mathrm{r}_{i}+\ell(\ell+1) / \mathrm{r}_{i}{ }^{2}\right]-\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{eff}}{ }^{(i)} \mathrm{e}^{2} / \mathrm{r}_{i}\right\} \mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{r}_{i}\right)=\mathrm{E}_{i} \mathrm{~F}\left(\mathrm{r}_{i}\right)
$$ each one of which is a hydrogen atom with $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {eff }}{ }^{(i)}$ appearing everywhere Z used to be. This means that $\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{r}_{i}\right)=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n} \ell}\left(\mathrm{r}_{i}\right)$, just as for the hydrogen atom, and all the wavefunctions that we found for the hydrogen atom are the same ones as for each electron in the many-electron atom under the Slater approximation. Because of this, the one-electron energies are $\mathrm{E}_{i}=-\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\text {eff }}^{(i)} / \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{2}\left(\mathrm{e}^{2} / 2 \mathrm{a}_{0}\right)$ and all the one-electron operators $O p$ which can be expressed in terms of $\mathrm{r}_{i}$ can be obtained from the integrals derived for the hydrogen atom, for example, given $\int \psi_{\mathrm{n}, \ell, \mathrm{m}} * \mathrm{r}^{2} \psi_{\mathrm{n}, \ell \mathrm{m}} \mathrm{d} \tau=\left[\mathrm{n}^{2} / 2 \mathrm{Z}^{2}\right] \cdot\left\{5 \mathrm{n}^{2}+1-3 \ell(\ell+1)\right\}$ for H-like atom. the average value:

$$
\left\langle\left(\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{a}_{0}\right)^{2}\right\rangle=\left(\mathrm{n}^{2} / 2 \mathrm{Z}^{2}\right)\left[5 \mathrm{n}^{2}+1-3 \ell(\ell+1)\right]
$$

## Slater's rules:

1. $\mathrm{s}_{1 \mathrm{~s}}=0.30$
2. For electrons with $\mathrm{n}>1$ and $\ell=0,1$

$$
\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n} \ell}=0.35 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{same}}+0.85 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{in}}+1.00 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{inner}}
$$

where
$\mathrm{k}_{\text {same }}=$ number of other electrons in the same shell as the screened electron of interest
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{in}}=$ number of electrons in the shell with principal quantum number n-1
$\mathrm{k}_{\text {inner }}=$ number of electrons in the shell with principal quantum number n-2
3. For 3d electrons

$$
\mathrm{s}_{3 \mathrm{~d}}=0.35 \mathrm{k}_{3 \mathrm{~d}}+1.00 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{in}}
$$

where
$k_{3 d}=$ number of 3d electrons
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{in}}=$ number of electrons with $\mathrm{n} \leq 3$ and $\ell<2$

## Example: Energy Calculations

Problem: The electron transfer that occurs when a $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$and a $\mathrm{H}^{-}$ion are formed from a Li atom and a H atom leads to the formation of LiH . Using Slater's rules, derive an expression for the calculation of the energy change that is involved when this occurs. Is the energy evolved or absorbed in this chemical reaction? Do the calculations, giving your answer in eV .

Using Slater's rules,
Li $Z=3$, electronic configuration: $1 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{~s}$

| electron | n | $\ell$ | screening from others |  |  | $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n} \ell}$ | $\mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n} \ell}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | self | 0.30 | 0 | 0.30 | 2.70 |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | 0.30 | self | 0 | 0.30 | 2.70 |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 0.85 | 0.85 | self | 1.70 | 1.30 |

$\mathrm{Li}^{+} \mathrm{Z}=3$, electronic configuration: $1 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$

| electron | n | $\ell$ | screening from others |  | $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n} \ell}$ | $\mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n} \ell}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | self | 0.30 |  | 0.30 | 2.70 |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | 0.30 | self |  | 0.30 | 2.70 |

$\mathrm{H}^{-} \mathrm{Z}=1$, electronic configuration: $1 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$

| electron | n | $\ell$ | screening from others |  | $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n} \ell}$ | $\mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n} \ell}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | self | 0.30 |  | 0.30 | 0.70 |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | 0.30 | self |  | 0.30 | 0.70 |

Energy $=-\left\{Z_{\text {eff }}(i) / n_{i}\right\}^{2}\left(e^{2} / 2 a_{0}\right)$ for each electron
Before: $\mathrm{Li}+\mathrm{H}$
Energy for $\mathrm{Li}=-13.6 \mathrm{eV} \cdot\left\{2 \cdot[2.70 / 1]^{2}+[1.30 / 2]^{2}\right\}$
Energy for $\mathrm{H}=-13.6 \mathrm{eV} \cdot\left\{[1 / 1]^{2}\right\}$
Total energy $=-13.6 \mathrm{eV} \cdot\{15.00+1\}$
After: $\mathrm{Li}^{+}+\mathrm{H}^{-}$
Energy for $\mathrm{Li}^{+}=-13.6 \mathrm{eV} \cdot\left\{2 \cdot[2.70 / 1]^{2}\right\}$

Energy for $\mathrm{H}^{-}=-13.6 \mathrm{eV} \cdot\left\{2 \cdot[0.70 / 1]^{2}\right\}$
Total energy $=-13.6 \mathrm{eV} \cdot\{14.58+0.98\}$
Energy difference $=\mathrm{E}_{\text {after }}-\mathrm{E}_{\text {before }}=-13.6 \mathrm{eV}\{15.56-16\}=+5.98 \mathrm{eV}$
Although $\mathrm{E}_{\text {after }}$ is higher than $\mathrm{E}_{\text {before }}$, the reaction produces charged particles which have Coulomb interaction energy equal to $\left\{-\mathrm{e}^{2} / \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{LiH}}\right\}$ at the separation $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{LiH}}$.

## Example: Diamagnetic susceptibility of a many-electron atom:

Problem: When an atom is placed in a magnetic field, the magnetic field induces a magnetic moment in the atom. The energy term in this case is proportional to the square of the applied magnetic field and the proportionality constant is called the magnetic susceptibility. The magnetic susceptibility, $\chi$, is diamagnetic unless the atom has unpaired electrons.The diamagnetic susceptibility contributes to the susceptibility of all molecules. $\chi^{\mathrm{d}}$ has the following operator (for an atom having N electrons):

$$
O p=-\left(\mathrm{e}^{2} / 3 \mathrm{mc}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{r}_{i}^{2} .
$$

Derive the method by which it will be possible to calculate the diamagnetic susceptibility $\chi^{\mathrm{d}}$ (in units of $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ per atom or molecule) of a single Be atom in its ground state, using Slater's rules. Carry out the numerical computations. The answer should be very small; to get the observed molar magnetic susceptibility one has to multiply this by Avogadro's number. The quantity $\left(\mathrm{e}^{2} / 3 \mathrm{mc}^{2}\right)$ is $9.393 \times 10^{-14}$ cm and $\mathrm{a}_{0}=0.529167 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}$.

## Solution:

$\chi^{\mathrm{d}}$ has the following operator (for an atom having N electrons):

$$
O p=-\left(\mathrm{e}^{2} / 3 \mathrm{mc}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{r}_{i}{ }^{2} .
$$

Calculate $\left\langle\chi^{\mathrm{d}}\right\rangle$ for the ground state of the Be atom $\mathrm{Z}=4$.
How to do it:
(1) First determine the electronic configuration for the ground state.
(2) Then determine for each electron the screening contributions due to all the other electrons $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n} \ell}$. Then $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {eff }}=\mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n} \ell}$ for that electron.
(3) Once you have $Z_{\text {eff }}$ you also have the wavefunctions:
$\Psi\left(\mathrm{r}_{i}, \theta_{i}, \phi_{i}\right)=\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{r}_{i}\right) \cdot \mathrm{Y}_{\ell \mathrm{m}}\left(\theta_{i}, \phi_{i}\right)$ for each electron, and for the Be atom the wavefunction is a product of four such functions.
(4) Having this, it is trivial to evaluate the desired average value by using Postulate 3:

$$
\left\langle\chi^{\mathrm{d}}\right\rangle=\iint \Psi(1,2,3,4)^{*}\left\{-\left(\mathrm{e}^{2} / 3 \mathrm{mc}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{r}_{i}^{2}\right\} \Psi(1,2,3,4) \mathrm{d} \tau_{1} \mathrm{~d} \tau_{2} \mathrm{~d} \tau_{3} \mathrm{~d} \tau_{4}
$$

This works out into
$-\left(e^{2} / 3 \mathrm{mc}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\{$
$\iint \Psi(1) * \mathrm{r}_{1}{ }^{2} \Psi(1) \mathrm{d} \tau_{1} \cdot \iint \Psi(2) * \Psi(2) \mathrm{d} \tau_{2} \cdot \iint \Psi(3) * \Psi(3) \mathrm{d} \tau_{3} \cdot \iint \Psi(4) * \Psi(4) \mathrm{d} \tau_{4}+$ $\iint \Psi(1) * \Psi(1) \mathrm{d} \tau_{1} \cdot \iint \Psi(2) * \mathrm{r}_{2}^{2} \Psi(2) \mathrm{d} \tau_{2} \cdot \iint \Psi(3) * \Psi(3) \mathrm{d} \tau_{3} \cdot \iint \Psi(4) * \Psi(4) \mathrm{d} \tau_{4}+$ $\iint \Psi(1) * \Psi(1) \mathrm{d} \tau_{1} \cdot \iint \Psi(2) * \Psi(2) \mathrm{d} \tau_{2} \cdot \iint \Psi(3) * \mathrm{r}_{3}^{2} \Psi(3) \mathrm{d} \tau_{3} \cdot \iint \Psi(4) * \Psi(4) \mathrm{d} \tau_{4}+$ $\left.\iint \Psi(1)^{*} \Psi(1) \mathrm{d} \tau_{1} \cdot \iint \Psi(2) * \Psi(2) \mathrm{d} \tau_{2} \cdot \iint \Psi(3) * \Psi(3) \mathrm{d} \tau_{3} \cdot \iint \Psi(4)^{*} \mathrm{r}_{4}{ }^{2} \Psi(4) \mathrm{d} \tau_{4}\right\}$ $=-\left(\mathrm{e}^{2} / 3 \mathrm{mc}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\{$
$\left.\iint \Psi(1) * \mathrm{r}_{1}{ }^{2} \Psi(1) \mathrm{d} \tau_{1}+\iint \Psi(2) * \mathrm{r}_{2}{ }^{2} \Psi(2) \mathrm{d} \tau_{2}+\iint \Psi(3) * \mathrm{r}_{3}{ }^{2} \Psi(3) \mathrm{d} \tau_{3} \iint \Psi(4) * \mathrm{r}_{4}{ }^{2} \Psi(4) \mathrm{d} \tau_{4}\right\}$
Given $\int \psi_{\mathrm{n}, \ell, \mathrm{m}} * \mathrm{r}^{2} \psi_{\mathrm{n}, \ell \mathrm{m}} \mathrm{d} \tau=\left[\mathrm{n}^{2} / 2 \mathrm{Z}^{2}\right] \cdot\left\{5 \mathrm{n}^{2}+1-3 \ell(\ell+1)\right\} \mathrm{a}_{0}{ }^{2}$ for H atom, we can evaluate all the integrals because the functions $\Psi(1)$ etc. are hydrogen atom functions.

Given Be atom with 4 electrons in the ground configuration $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2}$.
Applying Slater's rules

| electron | n | $\ell$ | screening from others |  |  |  | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n} \ell}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Z}_{\text {eff }}= \\ & \mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n} \ell} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | self | 0.30 | 0 | 0 | 0.30 | 3.70 |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | 0.30 | self | 0 | 0 | 0.30 | 3.70 |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 0.85 | 0.85 | self | 0.35 | 2.05 | 1.95 |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.35 | self | 2.05 | 1.95 |

given $\int \psi_{\mathrm{n}, \ell, \mathrm{m}} * \mathrm{r}^{2} \psi_{\mathrm{n}, \ell, \mathrm{m}} \mathrm{d} \tau=\left[\mathrm{n}^{2} / 2 \mathrm{Z}^{2}\right] \cdot\left\{5 \mathrm{n}^{2}+1-3 \ell(\ell+1)\right\} \mathrm{a}_{0}{ }^{2}$ for H atom.

| electron | n | $\ell$ | $5 \mathrm{n}^{2}+1$ <br> $-3 \ell(\ell+1)$ | $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {eff }}{ }^{2}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{n}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{Z}_{\text {eff }}{ }^{2}}$ | $\left\langle\mathrm{r}^{2}\right\rangle$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 13.69 | 0.0365 | $0.219 \mathrm{a}_{0}{ }^{2}$ |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 13.69 | 0.0365 | $0.219 \mathrm{a}_{0}{ }^{2}{ }^{2}$ |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 3.8025 | 0.526 | $11.045 \mathrm{a}_{0}{ }^{2}$ |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 3.8025 | 0.526 | $11.045 \mathrm{a}_{0}{ }^{2}$ |

Then, summing up, $\left\langle\chi^{\mathrm{d}}\right\rangle=9.393 \times 10^{-14} \cdot 1 / 2 \cdot[0.219+0.219+11.045+11.045] \mathrm{a}_{0}{ }^{2}=2.63 \times 10^{-30}$.
$(0.219+11.045)=29.624 \times 10^{-30} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ per atom $=17.84 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ per mole
A note about units of the $B^{2}$ term in energy: gauss $^{2}=\operatorname{erg~cm}^{-3}$


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ States are the same as given in Table III

